Motion was made to vote not to accept the proposals as worded, but to express the Council's willingness to the Committee to consider other avenues of expanded consultation short of establishing a formal election procedure. Motion was seconded.

Discussion followed after which motion was made to table consideration of the proposal until the next meeting and invite Professor Harris and all other appropriate persons to attend that meeting in order to answer questions. Motion was seconded and passed.

Minor Change Requests: Policy

Chairman Bryant called to the attention of the Council members the memorandum to Warren Spencer relative to Minor Course Changes and asked the Council for guidance on how to proceed.

After some discussion it was the consensus of the Council that when a minor course change involves two educational units (i.e., when the course is a cross-listed one), both units are required to sign aforementioned form.

No formal motion was made.

New Business

Professor Paul G. Sears, Faculty Assitant to the President, requested some informal input from the Senate Council relative to the recently proposed non-tenured "Research Professor" appointments approved for establishment by the Senate and forwarded to the Administration for appropriate action. Professor Sears made the following comments:

The Senate at its meeting on April 30th voted to approve non-tenured research professor appointments. Questions are now coming up concerning the conditions of employment and one of the questions is whether these persons should be eligible for election to the Senate, the Board of Trustees and other service agencies on the campus as are regular tenure-track faculty. Guidance is requested from the Senate Council on resolving these questions. Dr. Sears added that he is in the process of drawing up some discussion documents—"conversation pieces"—to discuss with the administration.

Senate Council members made the following comments:

a) I am a strong supporter of the non-tenure research professor positions, but I feel these positions should be restricted.

- b) So long as these are non-tenure tracks, the element should be removed as much as possible. They are temporary employees hired with soft monies; when the funds to support these appointees are no longer available, their positions will be eliminated.
- c) It was indicated after some discussion that the Senate Minutes do not address the specific responsibilities of the proposed non-tenure research personnel.
- d) It was also indicated that the University Administration might utilize a title other than that of <u>professor</u> for these persons. This appeared to be the consensus of the Council members, most of whom look upon the title of professor as one who has teaching responsibilities.

Having no further comments or questions, Professor Sears thanked the Council and he departed.

Election of Secretary to the Senate Council

Professor William F. Wagner was elected by acclamation.

Use of General Funds to Support Athletic Programs

Chairman Bryant reported to the Council that he had spoken with Vice President Clapp about the reports in the Kernel and the Lexington Leader about utilization of general fund monies for athletic programs at the University. Chairman Bryant made the following comments:

The annual budget for the University is approximately 250 million dollars. Of this, the revenue generating sports—football and basket-ball—provide approximately 3.5 million. The cost of maintaining the two profitable sports is somewhat more than 2.5 million. Any reduction of the cost of providing these sports would probably result in a decline in their popular appeals that would be counter-productive as regards ticket sales and gate receipts. It would probably also seriously impair the University's effectiveness in dealing with the State Legislature.

As things now stand the University is able to use the somewhat less than I million generated by basketball and football to apply to the cost of non-revenue generating sports activities on the UK campus, including women's athletics. A difficulty arises here with regard to Title IX which provides Federal contracts and grants to the University on condition that the University comply with its provisions